arXiv:1605.02614v1 [math.AP] 9 May 2016

GLOBAL STRONG L^p WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE 3D PRIMITIVE EQUATIONS WITH HEAT AND SALINITY DIFFUSION

MATTHIAS HIEBER, AMRU HUSSEIN*, AND TAKAHITO KASHIWABARA

Abstract. Consider the full primitive equations, i.e. the three dimensional primitive equations coupled to the equation for temperature and salinity, and subject to outer forces. It is shown that this set of equations is globally strongly well-posed for *arbitrary* large initial data lying in certain interpolation spaces, which are explicitly characterized as subspaces of $H^{2/p,p}$, $1 < p < \infty$, satisfying certain boundary conditions. In particular, global well-posedeness of the full primitive equations is obtained for initial data having less differentiability properties than H^1, hereby generalizing by result by Cao and Titi [6] to the case of non-smooth data. In addition, it is shown that the solutions are exponentially decaying provided the outer forces possess this property.

1. Introduction

The convective flow in ocean dynamics is often described by the Boussinesq equations, which are the Navier-Stokes equations of incompressible flows coupled to the heat and salinity diffusion-transport equations. Considering the situation of ocean dynamics, the shallowness of the ocean or atmosphere is taken into account by modeling the vertical motion of the fluid with the hydrostatic balance. This leads to the primitive equations, which are considered nowadays to be a fundamental model in geophysical flows. This set of equations was introduced and analyzed first by Lions, Temam and Wang in a series of articles [25–27]. For more information on these equations we also refer to the work of Majda [29], Pedlosky [32], Vallis [35] and Washington-Parkinson [36]. The full primitive equations, i.e. the equations describing the conservation of momentum and mass of the fluid coupled with the equations for temperature as well as salinity are given by

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \partial_t v + u\cdot\nabla v - \Delta v + \nabla_H \pi &= f, && \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T),\\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, && \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T),\\ \partial_t \tau + u\cdot\nabla\tau - \Delta\tau &= g_\tau, && \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T),\\ \partial_t \sigma + u\cdot\nabla\sigma - \Delta\sigma &= g_\sigma, && \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T),\\ \partial_z \pi + 1 - \beta_\tau(\tau-1) + \beta_\sigma(\sigma-1) &= 0, && \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T), \end{aligned}\right. \tag{1.1}$$

with initial conditions $v(0) = a$, $\tau(0) = b_\tau$, $\sigma(0) = b_\sigma$ and forcing terms f, g_τ and g_σ. Here $\Omega = G\times(-h,0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, with $G = (0,1)\times(0,1)$. The velocity u of the fluid is described by $u = (v,w)$, where $v = (v_1, v_2)$ denotes the horizontal component and w the vertical one. In addition, the temperature and salinity are denoted by τ and σ, respectively, and π denotes the pressure of the fluid. Moreover, we assume $\beta_\tau, \beta_\sigma > 0$. Denoting the horizontal coordinates by $x, y \in G$ and the vertical one by $z \in (-h,0)$, we use the notation $\nabla_H = (\partial_x, \partial_y)^T$, whereas Δ denotes the three dimensional Laplacian and ∇ and div the three dimensional gradient and divergence operators.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35Q35; Secondary: 76D03, 47D06, 86A05.

Key words and phrases. Global strong well-posedness, Primitive Equations

* This author is supported by the DFG International Research Training Group IRTG 1529 on Mathematical Fluid Dynamics at TU Darmstadt.

The system is complemented by the boundary conditions

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrrrl} \partial_z v = 0, & w = 0, & \partial_z \tau + \alpha\tau = 0, & \partial_z \sigma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_u \times (0,\infty), \\ v = 0, & w = 0, & \partial_z \tau = 0, & \partial_z \sigma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_b \times (0,\infty), \\ & v, \pi, \tau, \sigma & \text{are periodic} & & \text{on } \Gamma_l \times (0,\infty), \end{array}\right. \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\Gamma_u = G \times \{0\}, \quad \Gamma_b = G \times \{-h\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_l = \partial G \times (-h, 0),$$

and $\alpha > 0$. The rigorous analysis of the primitive equations started with the pioneering work of Lions, Temam and Wang [25–27], who proved the existence of a global weak solution for this set of equations for initial data $a \in L^2$ and $b_\tau \in L^2$, $b_\sigma \in L^2$. For recent results on the uniqueness problem for weak solutions, we refer to the work of Li and Titi [28] and Kukavica, Pei, Rusin and Ziane [21].

The existence of a local, strong solution for the decoupled velocity equation with data $a \in H^1$ was proved by Guillén-González, Masmoudi and Rodiguez-Bellido in [15].

In 2007, Cao and Titi [6] proved a breakthrough result for this set of equation which says, roughly speaking, that there exists a unique, global strong solution to the primitive equations for *arbitrary* initial data $a \in H^1$ and $b_\tau \in H^1$ neglecting salinity. Their proof is based on *a priori* H^1-bounds for the solution, which in turn are obtained by $L^\infty(L^6)$ energy estimates. Note that the boundary conditions on $\Gamma_b \cup \Gamma_l$ considered there are different from the ones we are imposing in (1.2). Kukavica and Ziane considered in [23, 24] the primitive equations subject to the boundary conditions on $\Gamma_u \cup \Gamma_b$ as in (1.2) and they proved global strong well-posedness of the primitive equations with respect to arbitrary H^1-data. For a different approach see also Kobelkov [20].

Modifications of the primitive equations dealing with either only horizontal viscosity and diffusion or with horizontal or vertical eddy diffusivity were recently investigated by Cao and Titi in [7], by Cao, Li and Titi in [8–10]. Here, global well-posedness results are established for initial data in H^2. For recent results concerning the presence of vapor, we refer to the work of Coti-Zelati, Huang, Kukavica, Teman and Ziane [37].

The existence of a global attractor for the primitive equations was proved by Ju [19] and its properties were investigated by Chueshov [11].

For local well-posedness results concerning the inviscid primitive equations, we refer to Brenier [4], Masmoudi and Wong [30], Kukavica, Temam, Vicol and Ziane [22] as well as Hamouda, Jung and Temam [16].

Recently, the first and third author of this paper developed in [17] an L^p-approach for the primitive equations, hereby not taking into account the coupling of the momentum equation with temperature and salinity. They proved the existence of a *unique, global strong solution* to the primitive equations for initial data $a \in V_{1/p,p}$ for $p \in [6/5, \infty)$. Here, $V_{1/p,p}$ denotes the complex interpolation space between the ground space X_p and the domain of the hydrostatic Stokes operator, which was introduced and investigated in [17]. Choosing in particular $p = 2$, the space of initial data $V_{1/2,2}$ coincides with the space V introduced by Cao and Titi in [6] (up to a compatibility condition due to different boundary conditions), see also [6, 15, 23, 33]. Note that $V_{1/p,p} \hookrightarrow H^{2/p,p}(\Omega)^2$ for all $p \in (1,\infty)$. Hence, choosing $p \in [6/5, \infty)$ large, they obtained a global well-posedness result for initial data a having less differentiability properties than $H^1(\Omega)$.

In this article we continue to develop the L^p approach for the primitive equations, now coupled to the heat and salinity diffusion-transport equations and aim for a global strong well-posedness result for these equations subject to initial data which are allowed to be rougher than the ones being obtained in the L^2-setting and described in the above references. It should be emphasized that the coupled system cannot be approached considering velocity and diffusion-transport equations separately, in fact the coupled equations have to be solved simultaneously.

The aim of this article is threefold: First we extend the existing L^p-approach to the full primitive equations including temperature and salinity. The local existence result given in Section 5 allows us

to take initial data in an interpolation space $V_{1/p,p}$, and a local solution is constructed by an iteration scheme which is inspired by the Fujita-Kato scheme for the Navier-Stokes equations. The smoothing effect of the three analytic semigroups involved (hydrostatic Stokes semigroup for the velocity, diffusion semigroups for temperature and salinity) regularizes the initial values such that solutions lie in $H^{2,p}$ after short time. Unlike it is known for the Navier-Stokes equations, we show that local solutions can be extended to global L^p solutions by proving *a priori* $L^\infty(H^2)$ estimates on the solutions in L^2, which by appropriate embeddings give sufficient bounds in L^p as well. Note that there is an anisotropic structure in the primitive equations due to different scales for horizontal or vertical velocities including in particular the assumption of a hydrostatic balance in the vertical direction. Thus anisotropic estimates play a key role in the study of global strong well-posedness of the three dimensional primitive equations.

Secondly, we show that the L^p-approach is not restricted to the case $p \in [6/5, \infty)$, as proved in [17], but extends to the full range of all $p \in (1, \infty)$. The latter assertion is based on an explicit characterization of the interpolation spaces $V_{\theta,p}$ in terms of boundary conditions, which is of own interest. Our characterization result is inspired by a related result of Amann [1] where interpolation of boundary conditions is investigated for mixed boundary conditions for smooth domains and second order elliptic operators.

Thirdly, we consider forcing terms for velocity, temperature and salinity and prove the existence of a unique, global, strong solution to the primitive equations, whenever the forces are in $H^{1,2}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^2(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega))$. Decay conditions on the right hand sides assure exponential decay of the solutions also in the case of external forces. Considering external forces is important for various situations, e.g. when considering periodic solutions to the primitive equations with large periodic forces, see [13] and [14].

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give an equivalent reformulation of the problem, and collect various facts about our functional setting as well as on the linearized problem concerning in particular the hydrostatic Stokes and diffusion semigroups. Section 3 presents the main results on the existence of a unique, global, strong solution including decay properties in the case without salinity. Proofs are given in the subsequent sections characterizing first the space of initial values in Section 4, then proving existence of a unique, local, strong solution in Section 5. Subsequently *a priori* estimates are derived in Section 6 hereby proving the existence of a unique, global, strong solution. Finally, decay properties of strong solutions are investigated.

2. Preliminaries

We start by reformulating the primitive equations equivalently as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rll} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla_H v + w \cdot \partial_z v - \Delta v + \nabla_H \pi_s &= f + \Pi(\tau,\sigma), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \operatorname{div}_H \overline{v} &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \partial_t \tau + v \cdot \nabla_H \tau + w \cdot \partial_z \tau - \Delta \tau &= g_\tau, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \partial_t \sigma + v \cdot \nabla_H \sigma + w \cdot \partial_z \sigma - \Delta \sigma &= g_\sigma, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T), \end{array}\right. \tag{2.1}$$

using the notation

$$\operatorname{div}_H v = \partial_x v_1 + \partial_y v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{v} := \frac{1}{h}\int_{-h}^0 v(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)d\xi,$$

where we took into account the boundary condition $w = 0$ on Γ_b. Taking into account the boundary condition $w = 0$ on Γ_u, the vertical component w of the velocity u is determined by

$$w = -\int_{-h}^z \operatorname{div}_H v(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)d\xi.$$

Furthermore, the pressure π is determined by the surface pressure $\pi_s(x,y) = \pi(x,y,-h)$, while the part of the pressure due to temperature and salinity is given by

$$\Pi(\tau,\sigma) = -\nabla_H \int_{-h}^z \beta_\tau \tau(\cdot,\xi) - \beta_\sigma \sigma(\cdot,\xi)d\xi, \quad \beta_\tau, \beta_\sigma > 0,$$

compare [26, Equations (2.4) and (2.60)], [23, Section 4] for the case with salinity and [6, Subsection 2.1] for the case only with temperature.

The boundary conditions (1.2) considered here for temperature and salinity on Γ_b and Γ_u are as in [26, Equation (2.61)] which is also in agreement with those in [6] for the temperature. The periodicity on Γ_l is chosen for consistency with the velocity considered in [17] or [23, Remark 3.1]. For different choices of boundary conditions compare [25, Equation (1.37) and (1.37)'] to [26, Equations (2.5), (2.61)].

The case of Neumann boundary conditions for the velocity on both Γ_b and Γ_u is considered in [6], and such boundary conditions allow for a splitting of the linearized problem into a horizontal and a vertical part, which is not the case for the mixed boundary conditions considered here.

Periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal direction are modeled using function spaces as in [17, Section 2]. In fact, a smooth function $f\colon \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *(horizontally) periodic of order m on Γ_l* if for all $k = 0, \dots, m$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x^k}(0, y, z) = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x^k}(1, y, z) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial y^k}(x, 0, z) = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial y^k}(x, 1, z).$$

Periodicity for $g\colon \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined analogously. Considering

$$C^\infty_{per}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \mid \varphi \text{ periodic of order } m \text{ on } \Gamma_l \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and

$$C^\infty_{per}(G) = \{\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{G}) \mid \varphi \text{ periodic of order } m \text{ on } \Gamma_l \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

we define for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $s \in [0, \infty)$

$$H^{s,p}_{per}(\Omega) := \overline{C^\infty_{per}(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^{s,p}(\Omega)}}, \quad H^{s,p}_{per}(G) := \overline{C^\infty_{per}(G)}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^{s,p}(G)}},$$

where $H^{0,p}_{per} := L^p$. We denote by $H^{s,p}$ the Bessel potential space, which for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ coincides with the classical Sobolev space, and if there is no ambiguity we write H^s for $H^{s,2}$. Cylindrical boundary value problems including periodicity are discussed in great detail in [31].

The linearized problem for the velocity is given by the *hydrostatic Stokes equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v - \Delta v + \nabla_H \pi_s &= f, \\ \operatorname{div}_H \overline{v} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with initial value $v(0) = a$ and boundary conditions as in (1.2). The study of the hydrostatic Stokes system has been commenced by Ziane in [38, 39], where the L^2 situation was discussed. The general L^p setting for $p \in (1, \infty)$ has been studied in detail in [17, Section 3 and 4]. In particular, it has been shown that the hydrostatic solenoidal space

$$L^p_\sigma(\Omega) = \overline{\{v \in C^\infty_{per}(\Omega)^2 \mid \operatorname{div}_H \overline{v} = 0\}}^{L^p(\Omega)^2}$$

is a closed subspace of $L^p(\Omega)^2$, compare [17, Proposition 4.3]. Furthermore, there exists a continuous projection P_p onto it – called the *hydrostatic Helmholtz projection*, and one has $L^p_\sigma(\Omega) = \operatorname{Ran} P_p$. In particular,

$$L^p_\sigma(\Omega) = \{v \in L^p(\Omega)^2 \mid \langle \overline{v}, \nabla_H \pi_s \rangle_{L^{p'}(G)} = 0 \text{ for all } \pi_s \in H^{1,p'}_{per}(G)\},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Following [17] we then define the *hydrostatic Stokes operator* A_p by

$$A_p v := P_p \Delta v, \quad D(A_p) := \{v \in H^{2,p}_{per}(\Omega)^2 \mid (\partial_z v)|_{\Gamma_u} = 0, v|_{\Gamma_b} = 0\} \cap L^p_\sigma(\Omega).$$

Resolvent estimates for A_p have been proven in [17, Theorem 3.1], and therefrom the following result was proved in [17].

Proposition 2.1. *For $p \in (1, \infty)$, the operator A_p generates an analytic semigroup $T_p(t)$ on $L^p_\sigma(\Omega)$, which is exponentially stable with decay rate $\beta_v > 0$.*

Remark 2.2. After solving the equation $\partial_t v - A_p v = P_p f$, $v(0) \in L^p_\sigma(\Omega)$, the pressure can be reconstructed using the fact that ∂_t and P_p commute by

$$\nabla_H \pi_s = (\mathbb{1} - P_p) f + (\mathbb{1} - P_p)\Delta v,$$

since the gradient is injective on $H^1_{per}(G) \cap L^p_0(G)$, $L^p_0(G) := \{v \in L^p(G) \mid \int_G v = 0\}$.

Considering the diffusion equations

$$\partial_t \tau - \Delta \tau = g_\tau, \quad \tau(0) = b_\tau, \quad \partial_t \sigma - \Delta \sigma = g_\sigma, \quad \sigma(0) = b_\tau,$$

we define operators Δ_τ for $\alpha > 0$ and Δ_σ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_\tau \tau = \Delta \tau, \quad D(\Delta_\tau) = \{\tau \in H^{2,q_\tau}_{per}(\Omega) \mid (\partial_z \tau + \alpha\tau) \mid_{\Gamma_u} = 0, \quad \partial_z \tau \mid_{\Gamma_b} = 0\},\\
&\Delta_\sigma \tau = \Delta \sigma, \quad D(\Delta_\sigma) = \{\sigma \in H^{2,q_\sigma}_{per}(\Omega) \mid \partial_z \sigma \mid_{\Gamma_u} = 0, \quad \partial_z \sigma \mid_{\Gamma_b} = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

These operators were investigated in detail by Nau in [31, Section 8.2.2], and therefrom and by direct computations we conclude the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $q \in (1, \infty)$. Then the operators Δ_τ and Δ_σ are the generators of analytic contraction semigroups $T_\tau(t)$ and $T_\sigma(t)$ on $L^q(\Omega)$. Moreover, $T_\tau(t)$ is exponentially stable with decay rate $\beta_\tau > 0$.*

We end this section by noting that $H^{1,2}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^p(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega))$ denotes the space consisting of functions, which are in $H^{1,2}((0,T); L^p(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega))$ for any $T < \infty$.

3. Main results

After reformulating the original system (1.1) and (1.2) into its equivalent form (2.1), we are now in the position to formulate the main results of this article.

Theorem 3.1 (Existence of a Unique Global Strong Solutions)**.**
Let $p, q_\tau, q_\sigma \in (1, \infty)$ with $q_\tau, q_\sigma \in [\frac{2p}{3}, p] \cap (1, p]$ and suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}
&f \in H^{1,2}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^p(\Omega)^2 \cap L^2(\Omega)^2),\\
&g_\tau \in H^{1,2}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^{q_\tau}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)), \qquad g_\sigma \in H^{1,2}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^{q_\sigma}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)).
\end{aligned}$$

a) Assume that

$$a \in \{u \in H^{2/p,p}_{per}(\Omega)^2 \cap L^p_\sigma(\Omega) \mid v \mid_{\Gamma_b} = 0\}, \quad b_\tau \in H^{2/q_\tau, q_\tau}_{per}(\Omega), \quad b_\sigma \in H^{2/q_\sigma, q_\sigma}_{per}(\Omega).$$

Then there is a unique, global, strong solution to (2.1) *and* (1.2) *satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
v &\in C^1((0,\infty); L^p_\sigma(\Omega)) \cap C^0((0,\infty); D(A_p)),\\
\pi_s &\in C^0((0,\infty); H^{1,p}_{per}(G) \cap L^p_0(G)),\\
\tau &\in C^1((0,\infty); L^{q_\tau}(\Omega)) \cap C^0((0,\infty); D(\Delta_\tau)),\\
\sigma &\in C^1((0,\infty); L^{q_\sigma}(\Omega)) \cap C^0((0,\infty); D(\Delta_\sigma)).
\end{aligned}$$

b) If in addition

$$a \in D(A_p) \quad \text{and} \quad b_\tau \in D(\Delta_\tau), \quad b_\sigma \in D(\Delta_\sigma)$$

then the above solution extends to $[0, \infty)$.

Considering the primitive equations without salinity we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2 (Decay at infinity)**.**
In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, let $b_\sigma = 0$ and $g_\sigma = 0$, and assume that there are $\beta_f \geq \beta_v$, $\beta_{g_\tau} \geq \beta_\tau$, such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2} = O(e^{-\beta_f t}) \text{ and } \|g_\tau\|_{L^{q_\tau}(\Omega)} = O(e^{-\beta_g t}), \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

where β_v, β_τ are given as in Proposition 2.1 and 2.3, respectively. Then the strong solution (v, π_s, τ) satisfies

$$\|\partial_t v\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta v\|_{L^p} = O(e^{-\beta_v t}), \quad \|\partial_t \tau\|_{L^{q_\tau}} + \|\Delta \tau\|_{L^{q_\tau}} = O(e^{-\beta_\tau t}), \quad \|\nabla_H \pi_s\|_{L^p} = O(e^{-\beta t})$$

as $t \to \infty$ and where $\beta := \min\{\beta_v, \beta_\tau\}$.

Remarks 3.3. a) Note that the global strong solution exists for arbitrary large data, and Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 generalize [17, Theorem 6.1] on the one hand side to the non-isothermal situation and secondly to the case of outer forces, which is important for example for treating the associated problem for periodic solutions.
b) Exponential decay for the salinity cannot be expected in general since the corresponding semigroup is not exponentially decaying due to the Neumann boundary conditions.

4. Interpolation Spaces

In this section we give an explicit characterization of the complex interpolation spaces space arising in the construction of local solutions given in Section 5. To this end, consider

$$V_{\theta,p} := [L^p_\sigma(\Omega), D(A_p)]_\theta, \quad \hat{V}^\tau_{\theta,q} := [L^q(\Omega), D(\Delta_\tau)]_\theta, \quad \hat{V}^\sigma_{\theta,q} := [L^q(\Omega), D(\Delta_\sigma)]_\theta$$

for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and where $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$ denotes the complex interpolation functor; see also [17, Equation (4.10)]. Then the above spaces are characterized as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. Then*

$$V_{\theta,p} = \begin{cases} \{H^{2\theta,p}_{per}(\Omega)^2 \cap L^p_\sigma(\Omega) \mid \partial_z v \mid_{\Gamma_u} = 0, v \mid_{\Gamma_b} = 0\}, & 1/2 + 1/2p < \theta \leq 1, \\ \{H^{2\theta,p}_{per}(\Omega)^2 \cap L^p_\sigma(\Omega) \mid v \mid_{\Gamma_b} = 0\}, & 1/2p < \theta < 1/2 + 1/2p, \\ H^{2\theta,p}_{per}(\Omega)^2 \cap L^p_\sigma(\Omega), & \theta < 1/2p, \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{V}^\tau_{\theta,q} = \begin{cases} \{H^{2\theta,q}_{per}(\Omega) \mid (\partial_z \tau + \alpha\tau) \mid_{\Gamma_u} = 0, \partial_z \tau \mid_{\Gamma_b} = 0\}, & 1/2 + 1/2q < \theta \leq 1, \\ H^{2\theta,q}_{per}(\Omega), & \theta < 1/2 + 1/2q, \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{V}^\sigma_{\theta,q} = \begin{cases} \{H^{2\theta,q}_{per}(\Omega) \mid \partial_z \sigma \mid_{\Gamma_u} = 0, \partial_z \sigma \mid_{\Gamma_b} = 0\}, & 1/2 + 1/2q < \theta \leq 1, \\ H^{2\theta,q}_{per}(\Omega), & \theta < 1/2 + 1/2q. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us note first that, following the work of Amann [1], results on the interpolation of boundary conditions for Sobolev spaces are known for elliptic second operators on domains with C^∞-boundaries subject to mixed boundary conditions on disjoint parts of the boundaries. In the following proof we construct retractions of interpolation couples from such a situation to the one considered here.

Note first that there is a C^∞ domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ extending Ω such that the boundary of $\tilde{\Omega}$ extends $\Gamma_u \subset \tilde{\Gamma_u}$ and $\Gamma_b \subset \tilde{\Gamma_b}$ for $\tilde{\Gamma_u}, \tilde{\Gamma_b} \subset \partial\tilde{\Omega}$. Such an $\tilde{\Omega}$ is schematically depicted in figure 1.

Consider now a partition of unity of Ω with respect of the topology induced by the periodicity, that is, considering Ω with the topology of $S^1 \times S^1 \times (-h, 0)$. Since this space is compact, there is a finite covering U_i, $i \in I$, $|I| < \infty$, and smooth partition of unity $\varphi_i \colon \Omega \to [0,1]$ with $\text{supp}\varphi_i \subset U_i$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i \equiv 1. \tag{4.1}$$



FIGURE 1. Extension of Ω to $\tilde{\Omega}$



(a) G_1 (b) G_2 (c) G_3 (d) G_4

FIGURE 2. Covering $\{G_i\}_{i=1,\dots,4}$ for $G \cong S^1 \times S^1$

Take now for each $i \in I$ a copy $\tilde{\Omega}_i$ of $\tilde{\Omega}$. Assume that U_i is sufficiently small such that U_i can be identified – taking advantage of the periodicity at Γ_l – with an open subset $\tilde{U}_i \subset \tilde{\Omega}_i$, compare figure 2 where such $U_i = G_i \times (-h, 0)$, $i = 1, \dots 4$, are given with $\tilde{U}_i = \tilde{G}_i \times (-h, 0)$, $\tilde{G}_i$ dashed.

We then define the co–retract S and the corresponding retract R by

$$Sv = \{\chi_i v\}_{i\in I}, \quad Ru = \sum_{i\in I} \chi_i u_i, \quad \text{where } \chi_i := \sqrt{\varphi_i},$$

respectively. This defines for $s \in [0, \infty)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ maps which are preserving boundary conditions imposed on Γ_u, Γ_b and $\tilde{\Gamma}_u, \tilde{\Gamma}_b$, respectively,

$$S\colon H^{s,p}_{per,b.c.}(\Omega) \to \bigoplus_{i\in I} H^{s,p}_{b.c.}(\tilde{\Omega}_i), \quad R\colon \bigoplus_{i\in I} H^{s,p}_{b.c.}(\tilde{\Omega}_i) \to H^{s,p}_{per,b.c.}(\Omega),$$

where abbreviating $H^{s,p}_{per,b.c.}(\Omega)$ and $H^{s,p}_{b.c.}(\tilde{\Omega})$ denote spaces with boundary conditions as considered here. From (4.1) we conclude that $S \circ R \equiv \mathbb{1}$ and that R is indeed a retraction, and therefore [34, Theorem 1.2.4] yields for $\theta \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned}[L^p(\Omega), H^{2,p}_{per,b.c.}(\Omega)]_\theta &= [R(\oplus_{i\in I} L^p(\tilde{\Omega}_i)), R(\oplus_{i\in I} H^{2,p}_{b.c.}(\tilde{\Omega}_i))]_\theta \\ &= R(\oplus_{i\in I}[L^p(\tilde{\Omega}_i), H^{2,p}_{b.c.}(\tilde{\Omega}_i)]_\theta).\end{aligned}$$

Now, by [1, Theorem 5.2]

$$[L^p(\tilde{\Omega}), H^{2,p}_{b.c.}(\tilde{\Omega})]_\theta = \begin{cases} H^{2\theta,p}(\tilde{\Omega}) \text{ all b.c.}, & 1 + 1/p < \theta \le 2, \\ H^{2\theta,p}(\tilde{\Omega}) \text{ only Dirichlet part}, & 1/p < \theta \le 2, \\ H^{2\theta,p}(\tilde{\Omega}) \text{ without b.c.}, & 0 \le \theta \le 1/p. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}[L^p(\Omega), H^{2,p}_{per,b.c.}(\Omega)]_\theta &= R(\oplus_{i\in I}[L^p(\tilde{\Omega}_i), H^{2,p}_{b.c.}(\tilde{\Omega}_i)]_\theta) \\ &= \begin{cases} H^{2\theta,p}_{per}(\Omega) \text{ all b.c}, & 1 + 1/p < \theta \le 2, \\ H^{2\theta,p}_{per}(\tilde{\Omega}) \text{ only Dirichlet part}, & 1/p < \theta \le 2, \\ H^{2\theta,p}_{per}(\tilde{\Omega}) \text{ without b.c.}, & 0 \le \theta \le 1/p. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Using finally [34, 1.7.1 Theorem 1] we conclude for the velocity

$$\begin{aligned}[L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega), D(A_p)]_\theta &= [L^p(\Omega) \cap L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega), H^{2,p}_{per,b.c.}(\Omega) \cap L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)]_\theta \\ &= [L^p(\Omega), H^{2,p}_{per,b.c.}(\Omega)]_\theta \cap L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

□

For the construction of local solutions the following lemma will be needed.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $p, q \in (1,\infty)$, $0 \le \theta_1, \theta_2 \le 1$ with $\theta_1 + \theta_2 \le 1$. Then*

(a) *$V_{\theta,p} \subset H^{2\theta,p}(\Omega)^2$ and $\hat{V}^\tau_{\theta,q} \subset H^{2\theta,q}(\Omega)$, $\hat{V}^\sigma_{\theta,q} \subset H^{2\theta,q}(\Omega)$, $0 \le \theta \le 1$;*

(b) *There exist constants $C_v, C_\tau, C_\sigma > 0$ and $\beta_v, \beta_\tau > 0$ such that for $t > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{tA_p} f\|_{V_{\theta_1+\theta_2,p}} &\le C_v t^{-\theta_1} e^{-\beta_v t} \|f\|_{V_{\theta_2,p}}, && f \in V_{\theta_2,p},\\ \|e^{t\Delta_\tau} g_\tau\|_{\hat{V}^\tau_{\theta_1+\theta_2,q}} &\le C_\tau t^{-\theta_1} e^{-\beta_\tau t} \|g_\tau\|_{\hat{V}^\tau_{\theta_2,q}}, && g_\tau \in \hat{V}^\tau_{\theta_2,q},\\ \|e^{t\Delta_\sigma} g_\sigma\|_{\hat{V}^\sigma_{\theta_1+\theta_2,q}} &\le C_\sigma t^{-\theta_1} \|g_\sigma\|_{\hat{V}^\sigma_{\theta_2,q}}, && g_\sigma \in \hat{V}^\sigma_{\theta_2,q};\end{aligned}$$

(c) *$t^{\theta_1}\|e^{tA_p} f\|_{V_{\theta_1+\theta_2,p}} \to 0$,*
$t^{\theta_1}\|e^{t\Delta_\tau} g_\tau\|_{\hat{V}^\tau_{\theta_1+\theta_2,q}} \to 0$ and $t^{\theta_1}\|e^{t\Delta_\sigma} g_\sigma\|_{\hat{V}^\sigma_{\theta_1+\theta_2,q}} \to 0$ as $t \to 0$.

The proof is analogous to [17, Lemma 4.6] and therefore omitted.

5. Local Strong Solutions

In this section we prove the existence of a unique, mild solution to (2.1) and (1.2) for initial data belonging to the spaces $V_{\delta,p}$ and $\hat{V}^\tau_{\delta,p}$, $\hat{V}^\sigma_{\delta,p}$ defined above for suitable values of δ. Our method is inspired by the Fujita-Kato approach for the Navier-Stokes equations and the one developed in [17, Section 5] for the primitive equations.

Adopting the short hand notation

$$\zeta := (\tau, \sigma), \quad g := (g_\tau, g_\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad b := (b_\tau, b_\sigma),$$

and setting for simplicity $q_\tau = q_\sigma$ along with

$$q := q_\tau = q_\sigma, \quad \hat{V}_{q,\theta} := \hat{V}^\tau_{q,\theta} \times \hat{V}^\sigma_{q,\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_\zeta := \Delta_\tau \oplus \Delta_\sigma,$$

where Δ_ζ is now a vector valued Laplacian writing $\Delta_{q,\zeta}$ in case of ambiguity. Also we represent the non-linear terms by

$$F_p(v,\zeta) := -P_p\left(v \cdot \nabla_H v + w\partial_z v - \Pi(\zeta)\right), \tag{5.1}$$

$$G_q(v,\zeta) := -\left(v \cdot \nabla_H \zeta + w\partial_z \zeta\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Taking advantage of the product structure of $\Omega = G \times (-h, 0)$, we introduce for $r, s \ge 0$ and $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ the spaces

$$H^{r,q}_z H^{s,p}_{x,y} := H^{r,q}((-h,0); H^{s,p}(G))$$

equipped with the norm $\|v\|_{H^{r,q}_z H^{s,p}_{x,y}} := \|\|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{s,p}(G)}\|_{H^{r,q}(-h,0)}$. Note that

$$H^{r+s,p}(\Omega) \subset H^{r,p}_z H^{s,p}_{x,y}.$$

Applying the Hölder inequality separately for vertical and horizontal components, we derive

$$\|fg\|_{L^q_z L^p_{xy}} \le \|f\|_{L^{q_1}_z L^{p_1}_{xy}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}_z L^{p_2}_{xy}}, \quad \tfrac{1}{p} = \tfrac{1}{p_1} + \tfrac{1}{p_2}, \quad \tfrac{1}{q} = \tfrac{1}{q_1} + \tfrac{1}{q_2}.$$

We also obtain the embedding properties

$$\begin{aligned}H^{r,q}_z H^{s,p}_{x,y} &\hookrightarrow H^{r',q'}_z H^{s,p}_{x,y}, \quad \text{whenever} \quad H^{r,q}_z \hookrightarrow H^{r',q'}_z,\\ H^{r,q}_z H^{s,p}_{x,y} &\hookrightarrow H^{r,q}_z H^{s',p'}_{x,y}, \quad \text{whenever} \quad H^{s,p}_{x,y} \hookrightarrow H^{s',p'}_{x,y}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $p, q \in (1,\infty)$ be as in Theorem 3.1, and let $\gamma(r) := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2r}$. Then (F_p, G_q) maps $V_{\gamma(p),p} \times \hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}$ into $L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega) \times L^q(\Omega)^2$, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

(a) *For $v \in V_{\gamma(p),p}$ and $\zeta \in \hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}$*

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_p(v,\zeta)\|_{L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)} \leq & C\left(\|v\|^2_{V_{\gamma(p),p}} + \|\zeta\|_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}}\right),\\ \|G_q(v,\zeta)\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq & C\left(\|v\|^2_{V_{\gamma(q),q}} + \|\zeta\|^2_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

(b) *For $v, v' \in V_{\gamma(p),p}$ and $\zeta, \zeta' \in \hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}$*

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_p(v,\zeta) - F_p(v',\zeta')\|_{L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)} \leq & C\left(\|v\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}} + \|v'\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}}\right)\|v - v'\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}}\\ & + C\|\zeta - \zeta'\|_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}},\\ \|G_q(v,\zeta) - G_q(v',\zeta')\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq & C\left(\|v\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}} + \|v'\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}}\right)\|\zeta - \zeta'\|_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}}\\ & + C\left(\|\zeta\|_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}} + \|\zeta'\|_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}}\right)\|v - v'\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$F_p(v,\zeta) = F_p^*(v) + P_p\Pi(\zeta),$$

with $F_p^*(v) := -P_p\left(v \cdot \nabla_H v + w\partial_z v\right)$. By [17, Lemma 5.1 (a)] the term F_p^* can be estimated by $F_p^*(v) \leq M\|v\|^2_{V_{\gamma(p),p}}$ for some $M > 0$, and it hence remains to estimate $\|\Pi(\zeta)\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}$. Interchanging ∇_H and integration with respect to z, we obtain

$$\|\nabla_H \int_{-h}^{z} \tau(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)d\xi\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2} = \|\int_{-h}^{z} \nabla_H\tau(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)d\xi\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2},$$

and hence by Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|\int_{-h}^{z} \nabla_H\tau(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)d\xi\|^p_{L_p} &= \int_\Omega \left|\int_{-h}^{z} \nabla_H\tau(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)d\xi\right|^p \leq \int_\Omega \left(\int_{-h}^{0} |\nabla_H\tau(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)|d\xi\right)^p\\ &\leq h^{p-1}\int_{-h}^{0}\int_G \left(\int_{-h}^{0} |\nabla_H\tau(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)|^p\right)d\xi\\ &\leq h^p\|\nabla_H\tau\|^p_{L^p} \leq h^p\|\tau\|^p_{H^{1,p}(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

For σ, an analogous statement holds, and therefore $\|\Pi(\zeta)\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2} \leq h\|\zeta\|_{H^{1,p}(\Omega)^2}$. The embeddings

$$\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q} \subset H^{1+1/q,q}(\Omega) \subset H^{1,p}(\Omega), \qquad \text{for } \tfrac{2p}{3} \leq q,$$

compare Lemma 4.2 (a), combined with usual Sobolev embeddings yield

$$\|P_p\Pi(\zeta)\|_{L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)} \leq \|\Pi(\zeta)\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2} \leq hC_{q,p}\|\zeta\|_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}}$$

for some $C_{q,p} > 0$. Hence, the claim for F_p follows with $C := \max\{M^*, hC_{q,p}\}$.

In order to show the estimate for G_q we use arguments analogous to the ones used in the proof of [17, Lemma 5.1]. In particular, for $v \in V_{\gamma(p),p}$ and $\zeta \in \hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|v \cdot \nabla_H\zeta\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} &\leq \|v\|_{L^\infty_z L^{2q}_{xy}}\|\nabla_H\zeta\|_{L^q_z L^{2q}_{xy}} \leq C\|v\|_{L^p_z L^{2q}_{xy}}\|\zeta\|_{L^q_z H^{1,2q}_{xy}},\\ &\leq C\|v\|_{L^p_z H^{1+1/p,p}_{xy}}\|\zeta\|_{L^q_z H^{1+1/q,q}_{xy}} \leq C\|v\|_{H^{1+1/p,p}(\Omega)}\|\zeta\|_{H^{1+1/q,q}(\Omega)}\\ &\leq C\|v\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}}\|\zeta\|_{\hat{V}_{\gamma(q),q}}\end{aligned}$$

for some $C>0$, where anisotropic Hölder estimates, the embeddings $L^\infty(-h,0)\subset L^p(-h,0)$, $H^{1+1/p,p}(G)\subset L^{2q}(G)$, $H^{1+1/q,q}(G)\subset H^{1,2q}(G)$ and Lemma 4.2 (a) have been used. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\|w\cdot\partial_z\zeta\|_{L^q(\Omega)} &\le \|w\|_{L^\infty_z L^{2q}_{xy}}\|\partial_z\zeta\|_{L^q_z L^{2q}_{xy}} \le C\|w\|_{H^{1,p}_z L^{2q}_{xy}}\|\partial_z\zeta\|_{L^q_z H^{1/q,q}_{xy}}\\ &\le C\|\mathrm{div}_H v\|_{L^p_z L^{2q}_{xy}}\|\zeta\|_{H^{1,q}_z H^{1/q,q}_{xy}} \le C\|v\|_{L^p_z H^{1+1/p,p}_{xy}}\|\zeta\|_{H^{1,q}_z H^{1/q,q}_{xy}}\\ &\le C\|v\|_{H^{1+1/p,p}(\Omega)}\|\zeta\|_{H^{1+1/q,q}(\Omega)} \le C\|v\|_{V_{\gamma(p),p}}\|\zeta\|_{\hat V_{\gamma(q),q}},\end{aligned}$$

where the embedding

$$H^{1+1/p,p}(G)\subset H^{1,2q}(G)\quad\text{for } q\le p$$

has been used. The claim follows then by Young's inequality.

The assertion (b) follows from similar arguments and a detailed proof is omitted here. □

We now turn our attention to the iteration scheme. To this end, we fix $p,q\in(1,\infty)$ and introduce with a slight abuse of notation the abbreviations

$$V_\theta := V_{\theta,p}\quad\text{and}\quad \hat V_\theta := \hat V_{\theta,q}.$$

For $T>0$, $\delta=\delta(r)=\frac{1}{r}$, $\gamma=\gamma(r)=\frac12+\frac{1}{2r}$, $r\in\{p,q\}$, consider the spaces

$$\begin{aligned}S_T &:= \left\{v\in C^0([0,T];V_\delta)\cap C^0((0,T];V_\gamma)\colon \|v(t)\|_{V_\gamma}=o(t^{\gamma-1})\text{ as } t\to0\right\},\\ \hat S_T &:= \left\{\zeta\in C^0([0,T];\hat V_\delta)\cap C^0((0,T];\hat V_\gamma)\colon \|\zeta(t)\|_{\hat V_\gamma}=o(t^{\gamma-1})\text{ as } t\to0\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

These become Banach spaces when equipped with the norms

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{S_T} &:= \sup_{0\le s\le T}\|v(s)\|_{V_\delta}+\sup_{0\le s\le T}s^{1-\gamma}\|v(s)\|_{V_\gamma},\\ \|\zeta\|_{\hat S_T} &:= \sup_{0\le s\le T}\|\zeta(s)\|_{\hat V_\delta}+\sup_{0\le s\le T}s^{1-\gamma}\|\zeta(s)\|_{\hat V_\gamma}.\end{aligned}$$

The pair (v,ζ) with $v\in C([0,T];V_\delta)$, $\zeta\in C([0,T];\hat V_\delta)$ is called a *mild solution* to the primitive equations, if v and ζ satisfy for $t\in[0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned}v(t) &= e^{tA_p}a+\int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_p}\left(P_pf(s)+F_p(v(s),\zeta(s))\right)ds,\\ \zeta(t) &= e^{t\Delta_\zeta}b+\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta_\zeta}\left(g(s)+G_q(v(s),\zeta(s))\right)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Our local existence results reads as follows.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $p,q\in(1,\infty)$ be as in Theorem 3.1 and $T>0$.*
a) Assume that $a\in V_\delta$, $b\in\hat V_\delta$ and that $P_pf\in C^0((0,T];L^p_\sigma(\Omega))$ as well as $g\in C^0((0,T];L_q(\Omega)^2)$ satisfy

$$\|P_pf(t)\|_{L^p_\sigma(\Omega)}=o(t^{2\gamma-2})\quad\text{and}\quad\|g(t)\|_{L_q(\Omega)^2}=o(t^{2\gamma-2})\quad\text{as } t\to0.$$

Then there exists $T^*\in(0,T)$ and a unique, mild solution $(v,\zeta)\in S_{T^*}\times\hat S_{T^*}$ to (2.1) and (1.2).
b) If in addition $a\in V_{\delta+\varepsilon}$ and $b\in\hat V_{\delta+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon\in(0,1-\gamma]$, then

$$v\in C^0([0,T^*];V_{\delta+\varepsilon})\cap C^0((0,T^*];V_\gamma),\qquad \zeta\in C^0([0,T^*];\hat V_{\delta+\varepsilon})\cap C^0((0,T^*];\hat V_\gamma),$$

where $T^*:=\min\{T_v^*,T_\tau^*,1/2C^2\}$ for some $C>1$ depending only on Ω and p,q and

$$T_v^*=32C^3(\|a\|_{V_{\delta+\varepsilon}}+C\max_{t\in[0,T]}\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2})^{-1/\varepsilon},\quad T_\tau^*=32C^3(\|b\|_{\hat V_{\delta+\varepsilon}}+C\max_{t\in[0,T]}\|g\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}))^{-1/\varepsilon}.$$

c) If in addition $f\in C^\eta((0,T];L^p(\Omega)^2)$ and $g\in C^\eta((0,T];L^q(\Omega)^2)$ for some $\eta\in(0,1)$, then the pressure π_s described as in (2.2) is well defined and (v,ζ,π_s) is a strong solution to (2.1) and (1.2) on $(0,T^*]$. If $a\in D(A_p)$ and $b\in D(\Delta_\zeta)$ then the solution extends to $[0,T^*]$.

Proof. The subsequent construction of local mild solutions follows the strategy described in [17, Proposition 5.2], and it is based on Lemmas 4.2 and 5.1 and an adaption of the Fujita-Kato approach, see [12], to the present situation. This method has been applied to the Bousinessq equation as well, see e.g. [18].

We start by defining $(v_m, \zeta_m) \in S_T \times \hat{S}_T$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, for $t > 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
v_0(t) &:= e^{tA_p}a + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_p} P_p f(s) ds,\\
\zeta_0(t) &:= e^{t\Delta_\zeta}b + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta_\zeta} g(s) ds,\\
v_{m+1}(t) &:= v_0(t) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_p} F_p(v_m(s), \zeta_m(s)) ds,\\
\zeta_{m+1}(t) &:= \zeta_0(t) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta_\zeta} G_q(v_m(s), \zeta_m(s)) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove inductively that this sequence is well-defined in $S_T \times \hat{S}_T$, and that it converges in this space by proving

(a) $(v_m, \zeta_m) \in S_T \times \hat{S}_T$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,
(b) for $d_{m+1} = (v_{m+1}, \zeta_{m+1}) - (v_m, \zeta_m)$ one shows that $d_m(0) = 0$,
(c) there is some $C(T^*) < 1$ for $0 < T^* \le T$ sufficiently small such that

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma} \|d_{m+1}(s)\|_{V_\gamma \times \hat{V}_\gamma} \le C(T^*) \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma} \|d_m\|_{V_\gamma \times \hat{V}_\gamma}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and by showing that the above limit is a mild solution. The induction basis for v_0 is covered already by [17, Equation (5.5)]; the proof for ζ_0 is analogous and uses Lemma 4.2. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{1-\gamma}\|v_0(t)\|_{V_\gamma} &\le t^{1-\gamma}\|e^{tA_p}a\|_{V_\gamma} + CB(1-\gamma, 2\gamma-1) \sup_{0\le s\le t} \left(s^{2-2\gamma} \|P_p f(s)\|_{L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)} \right),\\
t^{1-\gamma}\|\zeta_0(t)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma} &\le t^{1-\gamma}\|e^{t\Delta_\zeta}b\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma} + CB(1-\gamma, 2\gamma-1) \sup_{0\le s\le t} \left(s^{2-2\gamma} \|g(s)\|_{L_q(\Omega)^2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $B(x, y)$, for $\operatorname{Re} x, \operatorname{Re} y > 0$ denotes the Euler's beta function.

Proving the induction step $m \to m+1$, notice that by Lemma 5.1 one has $F_p(v, \zeta) \in L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)$, $G_q(v, \zeta) \in L^q(\Omega)^2$, for $v \in V_\gamma$, $\zeta \in \hat{V}_\gamma$. Since by induction hypothesis $v_m \in S_T$ and $\zeta_m \in \hat{S}_T$, it follows by Lemma 5.1 (b) that

$$F_p(v_m, \zeta_m) \in C^0((0, T]; L^p_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad G_q(v_m, \zeta_m) \in C^0((0, T]; L^q(\Omega)^2).$$

Now Lemma 4.2 (b) with $\theta_1 = 0$, $\theta_2 = \gamma$ shows that $e^{(t-s)A_p}$ maps V_0 to V_γ. Hence $e^{(t-s)A_p} F_p(v_m, \zeta_m) \in C^0((0, T]; V_\gamma)$ and analogously $e^{(t-s)\Delta_\zeta} G_q(v_m, \zeta_m) \in C^0((0, T]; \hat{V}_\gamma)$. In addition

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_p} F_p(v_m, \zeta_m) ds\|_{V_\gamma} &\le t^{\gamma-1} CB(1-\gamma, 2\gamma-1)[\sup_{0\le s\le t} \left(s^{1-\gamma}\|v_m(s)\|_{V_\gamma} \right)^2 + t^{1-\gamma} \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\zeta_m(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma}],\\
\|\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta_\zeta} G_q(v_m, \zeta_m) ds\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma} &\le t^{\gamma-1} CB(1-\gamma, 2\gamma-1)[\sup_{0\le s\le t} \left(s^{1-\gamma}\|v_m(s)\|_{V_\gamma} \right)^2 + (\sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\zeta_m(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma})^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t > 0$ consider

$$k^v_m(t) := \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|v_m(s)\|_{V_\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad k^\zeta_m(t) := \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\zeta_m(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma},$$

and notice that $\lim_{t\to 0} k_l^v(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to 0} k_l^\zeta(t) = 0$ by induction hypothesis for $l \le m$. The above estimates can be reformulated stating that there is a $C > 1$ such that for $t > 0$

$$k_{m+1}^v(t) \le k_0^v(t) + C\left((k_m^v(t))^2 + t^{1-\gamma} k_m^\zeta(t)\right), \tag{5.3}$$

$$k_{m+1}^\zeta(t) \le k_0^\zeta(t) + C\left((k_m^v(t))^2 + (k_m^\zeta(t))^2\right). \tag{5.4}$$

Using the induction hypothesis, it follows that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} k_{m+1}^v(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to 0} k_{m+1}^\zeta(t) = 0.$$

Hence $(v_m, \zeta_m) \in S_T \times \hat{S}_T$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now, consider for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t > 0$

$$u_m(t) := v_{m+1}(t) - v_m(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_m(t) := \zeta_{m+1}(t) - \zeta_m(t).$$

Using Lemma 5.1 we arrive at

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|u_{m+1}(s)\|_{V_\gamma} \le C[(k_m^v(t) + k_{m-1}^v(t)) \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|u_m(s)\|_{V_\gamma} + t^{1-\gamma} \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\omega_m(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma}]$$

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\omega_{m+1}(s)\|_{V_\gamma} \le C[(k_m^v(t) + k_{m-1}^v(t)) \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\omega_m(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma} + (k_m^\zeta(t) + k_{m-1}^\zeta(t)) \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|u_m(s)\|_{V_\gamma}].$$

Inductively we prove that if $k_0^v(t) < 1/32C^3$ and $k_0^\zeta(t) < 1/32C^3$, where assuming $C > 1$ and as in (5.3) and (5.4), then $k_m^v(t), k_m^\zeta(t) < 1/4C^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In fact, the base step is $m \in \{0, 1\}$, and the induction step for $m > 1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{m+1}^v(t) &\le k_0^v(t) + C\left\{k_m^v(t))^2 + k_0^v(t) + C\left[(k_{m-1}^v(t))^2 + (k_{m-1}^\zeta(t))^2\right]\right\},\\
&\le 1/32C^3 + 1/16C^3 + C/32C^3 + C^2/16C^4 + C^2/16C^4 < 1/4C^2,\\
k_{m+1}^\zeta(t) &\le k_0^\zeta(t) + C\left[(k_m^v(t))^2 + (k_m^\zeta(t))^2\right]\\
&\le 1/32C^3 + 1/16C^3 + 1/16C^3 < 1/4C^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for T^* satisfying $k_0^v(t) < 1/32C^3$ and $k_0^\zeta(t) < 1/32C^3$ for $t \in (0, T^*]$, and restricting T^* such that also $Ct^{1-\gamma} \le 1/(2C) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, T^*]$, we have

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|u_{m+1}(s)\|_{V_\gamma} + \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\omega_{m+1}(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma} \le 1/C\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|u_m(s)\|_{V_\gamma} + \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\omega_m(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma}\right).$$

Using $t \le (1/2C^2)^{1/(1-\gamma)}$ we have

$$T^* := \min\left\{(1/2C^2)^{1/(1-\gamma)}, T_v^*, T_\zeta^*\right\}, \tag{5.5}$$

where T_v^* is such that $k_0^v(t) < 1/32C^3$ and T_ζ^* such that $k_0^\zeta(t) < 1/32C^3$. By a similar argument for the uniform convergence with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{V_\delta}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{V}_\delta}$ in $[0, T^*]$, we see that the series

$$v(t) := v_0(t) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m(t), \qquad \zeta(t) := \zeta_0(t) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_m(t),$$

converge uniformly for $t \in (0, T^*]$ in $S_{T^*} \times \hat{S}_{T^*}$. In particular,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|v(s)\|_{V_\gamma} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{0\le s\le t} s^{1-\gamma}\|\zeta(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma} = 0.$$

Hence v and ζ are elements of S_{T^*} and $\hat{S}_{T^*}$, respectively.

By the choice of T^* we obtain

$$\|F_p(v_m(s), \zeta_m(s)\|_{L^p_\sigma(\Omega)} \le \frac{s^{\gamma-1}}{2C} \quad \text{and} \quad \|G_q(v_m(s), \zeta_m(s)\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \le \frac{s^{\gamma-1}}{2C},$$

where the right hand side is integrable on $(0,T^*)$. Therefore, by Lebesgue's theorem, we may interchange limit and integration which yields that v,ζ is a mild solution to (2.1) and (1.2).

It remains to prove that the solution constructed this way is unique in $S_{T^*}\times\hat{S}_{T^*}$. This follows by combing Lemma 5.1 with the argument given in [17].

For $a\in V_{\delta+\varepsilon}, b\in\hat{V}_{\delta+\varepsilon}$ the proof of local existence differs only by showing that the limits of $v_0(s)$ and $\zeta_0(s)$ for $s\to 0$ exist in the $V_{\delta+\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{V}_{\delta+\varepsilon}$ norms, respectively. In order to estimate T^* we use (5.5). Note that by Lemma 4.2 for $\varepsilon>0$

$$\|e^{tA_p}a\|_{V_\gamma}\le t^{\varepsilon}\|a\|_{V_{\delta+\varepsilon}},\quad \|e^{t\Delta_\zeta}b\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma}\le t^{\varepsilon}\|b\|_{\hat{V}_{\delta+\varepsilon}}$$

and if $f(\cdot)\in C([0,T];L^p(\Omega)^2)$ and $g(\cdot)\in C([0,T];L^q(\Omega)^2)$

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t}s^{2-2\gamma}\|P_pf(s)\|_{L^p_{\vec{\sigma}}(\Omega)}\le t^{\varepsilon}t^{2-2\gamma-\varepsilon}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|P_pf(s)\|_{L^p_{\vec{\sigma}}(\Omega)},$$
$$\sup_{0\le s\le t}s^{2-2\gamma}\|g(s)\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2}\le t^{\varepsilon}t^{2-2\gamma-\varepsilon}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|g(s)\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2}.$$

Since $t^{2-2\gamma-\varepsilon}\le 1$ for $t\in[0,1]$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,2-2\gamma)$ we may simplify the calculation by choosing $T^*\le 1$. Given T_v^* and T_ζ^* we have $k_0^v(t)<1/32C^3$ and $k_0^\zeta(t)<1/32C^3$ and the claim follows by (5.5).

The assertion (c) can be proven analogously to the proof of [17, Proposition 5.8], the details are omitted here. □

6. Global Well-Posedness

Our strategy to construct a unique, global, strong solution to (2.1) and (1.2) within the L^p-setting is to consider the L^2-situation first. To this end, *a priori* estimates will be constructed. In the second step we consider the existence of unique, strong, local L^p solution to (2.1) and (1.2), which due to the regularization properties of the underlying linear equation, lies after short time, inside L^2. In the following we give a detailed proof of Theorem 3.1 only for the case $q_\tau=q_\sigma$; this simplifies the notation considerably. The general case $q_\tau\neq q_\sigma$ can be treated in the same way. In order to simplify our notation further we set $\|\cdot\|:=\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

6.1. A priori estimates in L^2.

Lemma 6.1 (*A priori* estimates)**.** *Let $a\in D(A_2)$, $b\in D(\Delta_\zeta)$ for $q=2$, and*

$$f\in H^{1,2}((0,T);L^2(\Omega)^2),\quad g\in H^{1,2}((0,T);L^2(\Omega)^2).$$

Assume that v,π_s,ζ is a strong solutions to (2.1) *and* (1.2) *on $[0,T]$. Then there are functions $B^v_{H^2}$, $B^{\pi_s}_{H^1}$, $B^\zeta_{H^2}$, continuous on $[0,T]$, such that for all $t\in[0,T]$*

$$\|\zeta(t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)^2}\le B^\tau_{H^2}(t),\quad \|v(t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\le B^v_{H^2}(t),\quad \|\pi_s(t)\|^2_{H^1(G)}\le B^{\pi_s}_{H^1}(t),$$

where the bounds depend on $\|b\|_{H^2}$, $\|a\|_{H^2}$, $\|f\|_{H^{1,2}(L^2)}$, $\|g\|_{H^{1,2}(L^2)}$ and T, only.

Proof. Step 1: L^2 bound on the temperature and salinity.
Multiplying temperature and salinity equations in (2.1) with ζ, and integrating over Ω we derive

$$\int_\Omega\partial_t\zeta\cdot\zeta-\int_\Omega\Delta\zeta\cdot\zeta=-\int_\Omega(v\nabla_H\zeta\cdot\zeta+w\partial_z\zeta\cdot\zeta)+\int_\Omega g\cdot\zeta.$$

Integration by parts with respect to the horizontal components x and y yields

$$\int_\Omega v\nabla_H\zeta\cdot\zeta=\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_1\partial_x\zeta^2+v_2\partial_y\zeta^2=-\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\left(\partial_xv_1+\partial_yv_2\right)\zeta^2=-\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega(\mathrm{div}_H v)\zeta^2,$$

where $\zeta^2=(\tau^2,\sigma^2)$, and integrating by parts with respect to the vertical component z gives

$$\int_\Omega w\partial_z\zeta\cdot\zeta=\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\left(\int_0^z-\mathrm{div}_H v\right)\partial_z\zeta^2=\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega(\mathrm{div}_H v)\zeta^2.$$

Hence, the non-linear terms vanish, and the equation simplifies to become

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|\zeta\|^2 + \|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)} = \int_\Omega g\cdot\zeta.$$

Integrating with respect to t, and using Gronwall's lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\zeta(t)\|^2 &\le \left(\|b\| + \int_0^t \|g(s)\|^2 ds\right) e^{2t} =: B^\zeta_{L^2,1}(t)\\ \int_0^t \|\nabla\zeta(s)\|^2 ds &\le \frac{1}{2}\left(\|b\| + \int_0^t \|g(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\zeta(s)\|^2 ds\right)\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\left(\|b\| + \int_0^t \|g(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t B^\zeta_{L^2}(s) ds\right) =: B^\zeta_{L^2,2}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Adding $\int_0^t\|\zeta(s)\|^2 ds \le tB^\zeta_{L^2,1}(t)$ which uses monotonicity of $B^\zeta_{L^2,1}(t)$ gives

$$\|\zeta(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\zeta(s)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)^2} ds \le (1+t)B^\zeta_{L^2,1}(t) + B^\zeta_{L^2,2}(t) =: B^\zeta_{L^2}(t).$$

Step 2: L^2 bound on the velocity
Multiplying the velocity equation (2.1) by $v = P_2 v$, and integrating over Ω delivers while annihilating the pressure term

$$\int_\Omega \partial_t v\cdot v - \int_\Omega \Delta v\cdot v = -\int_\Omega (v\nabla_H v\cdot v + w\partial_z v\cdot v) + \int_\Omega P_2\left(f + \Pi(\zeta)\right)\cdot v.$$

A similar computation as for the temperature delivers that

$$\int_\Omega v\nabla_H v\cdot v + w\partial_z v\cdot v = 0.$$

Since $-A_2$ is a positive self-adjoint operator associated with the form $\mathfrak{t}_v$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{t}_v[v,v'] = \langle\nabla v, \nabla v'\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)^{2\times 3}},\ v, v' \in \{v\in H^{1,2}_{per}(\Omega)^2 \cap L^2_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega)\mid v|_{\Gamma_b} = 0\},$$

we have $\lambda_1\|v\|^2 \le \|\nabla v\|^2$ for $v\in\{v\in H^1_{per}\cap X_2 \mid v\mid_{\Gamma_b}= 0\}$, where $\lambda_1>0$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $-A_2$, and hence for $\varepsilon\le\lambda_1$

$$\partial_t\|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|f+\Pi(\zeta)\|^2 + (\varepsilon-\lambda_1)\|v\|^2 \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|f+\Pi(\zeta)\|^2$$

Recalling that $\|\Pi(\zeta)\|^2 \le C\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^2$ one obtains by integration

$$\|v(t)\|^2 \le \|a\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\|f(s)\|^2 ds + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^2 ds, \tag{6.1}$$

and since $\int_0^t\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^2 ds \le B^\zeta_{L^2}(t)$ and choosing $\varepsilon=\lambda_1$ one gets

$$\|v(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t\|\nabla v(s)\|^2 ds \le \|a\|^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_0^t\|f(s)\|^2 ds + \frac{C}{\lambda_1}B^\zeta_{L^2}(t) =: B^v_{L^2}(t).$$

Step 3: H^1 bound on the velocity.
A priori bounds on the H^1 norm of the velocity have been proven for $f\equiv 0$ in [17, Section 6]. This has been adapted to the situation, where $f\in L^2((0,T);L^2(\Omega)^2)$ in [13, Equation (4.1)]. Now, consider the right hand side $f+\Pi(\zeta)$, where $f\in L^2((0,T);L^2(\Omega)^2)$ and $\Pi(\zeta)\in L^2((0,T);L^2(\Omega)^2)$ by Step 1. Hence, by [13, equation (4.1)] there is a function $B^v_{H^1}$ continuous on $[0,T]$, such that

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t\|\Delta v(s)\|^2 ds \le B^v_{H^1}(\|\nabla a\|, \int_0^t\|f\|^2, \int_0^t\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^2 t).$$

The proof of this bound is the most demanding part in proving *a priori* bounds on the primitive equations.

Step 4: H^1 bound on the temperature and salinity.
Multiplying temperature and salinity equations in (2.1) by $-\Delta\zeta$, and integrating over Ω, gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\left(\|\nabla\zeta\|^2+\alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\right)+\|\Delta\zeta\|^2=\int_\Omega(v\nabla_H\zeta\cdot\Delta\zeta+w\partial_z\zeta\cdot\Delta\zeta)-\int_\Omega g\cdot\Delta\zeta.$$

By Hölder's inequality, the embedding $H^2(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ and Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega|v\nabla_H\zeta\cdot\Delta\zeta|&\le\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_H\zeta\|_{L^2}\|\Delta\zeta\|_{L^2}\le C\|v\|_{H^2}\|\nabla\zeta\|_{L^2}\|\Delta\zeta\|_{L^2}\\&\le\frac{3C^2}{2}\|v\|^2_{H^2}\|\nabla\zeta\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|\Delta\zeta\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the estimate $\|v\|^2_{H^2}\le C\|\Delta v\|^2$, and adding the non-negative term $C\|\Delta v\|^2\alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\ge 0$ we arrive at

$$\int_\Omega|v\nabla_H\zeta\cdot\Delta\zeta|\le C\|\Delta v\|^2\left(\|\nabla\zeta\|^2+\alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\|\Delta\zeta\|^2.$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned}\|w\|_{L^\infty}&\le C\|w\|_{H^1_zL^\infty_{xy}}=C\|\partial_zw\|_{L^2_zL^\infty_{xy}}=C\|\mathrm{div}_H v\|_{L^2_zL^\infty_{xy}}\\&\le C\|\mathrm{div}_H v\|_{L^2_zH^1_{xy}}\le C\|v\|_{L^2_zH^2_{xy}}\le C\|\Delta v\|,\end{aligned}$$

and applying Poicaré inequality to w yields by adding on the right hand side $C\|\Delta v\|^2\alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\ge 0$

$$\int_\Omega|w\partial_z\zeta\cdot\Delta\zeta|\le C\|\Delta v\|^2\left(\|\nabla\zeta\|^2+\alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\|\Delta\zeta\|^2.$$

Then, using

$$\int_\Omega|g\cdot\Delta\zeta|\le\frac{3}{2}\|g\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|\Delta\zeta\|^2$$

we arrive at

$$\partial_t\left(\|\nabla\zeta\|^2+\alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\right)+\|\Delta\zeta\|^2\le C\|\Delta v\|^2\left(\|\nabla\zeta\|^2+\alpha\|\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\right)+3\|g\|^2.$$

Integrating with respect to time and applying Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\zeta(t)\|^2+\alpha\|\tau(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}&\le\left(\|\nabla b\|^2+\alpha\|b_\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}+3\int_0^t\|g(s)\|^2ds\right)e^{\|\int_0^t\Delta v(s)\|^2ds}\\&\le\left(C\|b\|^2_{H^1}+3\int_0^t\|g(s)\|^2ds\right)e^{B^v_{H^1}(t)}\\&=:\tilde B^\tau_{H^1}(t),\end{aligned}$$

where due to Step 3 the integral $\int_0^t\|\Delta v(s)\|^2ds$ is bounded by $B^v_{H^1}(t)$ and $\|\nabla b\|+\alpha\|b_\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}\le C\|b\|_{H^1}$. Adding on both sides $\|\zeta\|^2$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\zeta(t)\|^2_{H^1}+\int_0^t\|\Delta\zeta(s)\|^2ds&\le\|b\|_{H^1}+B^\zeta_{L^2}(t)+C\tilde B^\zeta_{H^1}(t)\int_0^t\|\Delta v(s)\|^2ds+3\int_0^t\|g(s)\|^2ds\\&\le\|b\|_{H^1}+B^\zeta_{L^2}(t)+C\tilde B^\zeta_{H^1}(t)B^v_{H^1}(t)+3\int_0^t\|g(s)\|^2ds=:B^\zeta_{H^1}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Step 4: L^2 bound on ∂_tv and $\partial_t\zeta$.
In this step we derive estimates on $\partial_t\zeta$ by using the method of difference quotients. Hence, following [17, Section 6, Step 7], define for $\eta>0$

$$(s_\eta\zeta)(t):=\zeta(t+\eta),\quad(s_\eta v)(t):=v(t+\eta)\quad\text{for } t\in(0,T-\eta],$$

and the difference quotients

$$(D_\eta\zeta)(t) := \tfrac{1}{\eta}\left((s_\eta\zeta)(t) - \tau(t)\right), \quad (D_\eta v)(t) := \tfrac{1}{\eta}\left((s_\eta v)(t) - v(t)\right),$$

where $t \in (0, T-\eta]$. Following the computation for the velocity given in [17, Equation (6.14)] including now a right hand side g we consider

$$\partial_t\zeta + (v\cdot\nabla_H\zeta + w\partial_z\zeta) - \Delta\zeta = g, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Applying D_η, multiplying by $D_\eta\zeta$ and integrating over Ω gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2 + \|\nabla D_\eta\zeta\|^2 + \alpha\|D_\eta\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)} = -\int_\Omega D_\eta(v\cdot\nabla_H\zeta + w\partial_z\zeta)D_\eta\zeta + \int_\Omega D_\eta g D_\eta\zeta.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$D_\eta(v\cdot\nabla_H\zeta + w\partial_z\zeta) = (s_\eta v\cdot\nabla_H D_\eta\zeta + (s_\eta w)\partial_z D_\eta\zeta) + (D_\eta v\cdot\nabla_H\zeta + (D_\eta w)\partial_z\zeta).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega (s_\eta v\cdot\nabla_H D_\eta\zeta + (s_\eta w)\partial_z D_\eta\zeta)\cdot D_\eta\zeta &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega (s_\eta v_1)\partial_x (D_\eta\zeta)^2 + (s_\eta v_2)\partial_y (D_\eta\zeta)^2 + (s_\eta w)\partial_z (D_\eta\zeta)^2\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega -\mathrm{div}_H(s_\eta v)(D_\eta\zeta)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega (s_\eta \mathrm{div}_H v)(D_\eta\zeta)^2 = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the notation $(D_\eta\zeta)^2 = ((D_\eta\tau)^2, (D_\eta\sigma)^2)$ and in particular that $(s_\eta \mathrm{div}_H v) = \mathrm{div}_H(s_\eta v)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2 + \|\nabla D_\eta\zeta\|^2 + \alpha\|D_\eta\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)} &= -\int_\Omega (D_\eta v\cdot\nabla_H)\,\zeta\cdot D_\eta\zeta - \int_\Omega D_\eta w\partial_z\zeta\cdot D_\eta\zeta - \int_\Omega D_\eta g\cdot D_\eta\zeta\\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.\end{aligned}$$

The term I_1 is estimated by Hölder's inequality and Ladyzhenskaya's inequality $\|\phi\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\phi\|^{1/4}_{L^2}\|\nabla\phi\|^{3/4}_{L^2}$ for $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ if ϕ vanishes on some part of the boundary and $\|\psi\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\psi\|^{1/4}_{L^2}\|\psi\|^{3/4}_{H^1}$ for arbitrary $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$ as

$$|I_1| \leq \|D_\eta v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla_H\zeta\|_{L^2}\|D_\eta\zeta\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\nabla_H\zeta\|_{L^2}\|D_\eta v\|^{1/4}_{L^2}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^{3/4}_{L^2}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^{1/4}_{L^2}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^{3/4}_{H^1},$$

and by Young inequality as

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1| &\leq C\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4\|D_\eta v\|\|D_\eta\zeta\| + \frac{1}{4}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|\|D_\eta\zeta\|_{H^1}\\ &\leq \frac{C}{2}\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4\|D_\eta v\|^2 + \frac{C}{2}\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

The second term $|I_2|$ is estimated using iterated anisotropic Hölder estimates as

$$|I_2| \leq \|D_\eta w\|_{L^\infty_z L^2_{xy}}\|\partial_z\zeta\|_{L^2_z L^3_{xy}}\|D_\eta\zeta\|_{L^2_z L^6_{xy}}.$$

Similarly to the above, we obtain

$$\|D_\eta w\|_{L^\infty_z L^2_{xy}} \leq C\|D_\eta\mathrm{div}_H v\|_{L^2(\Omega)^2} \leq C\|D_\eta\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)^2}.$$

For the embedding $H^{2/3}(G) \hookrightarrow L^6(G)$ we obtain $\|D_\eta\zeta\|_{L^2_z L^6_{xy}} \leq C\|D_\eta\zeta\|_{L^2_z H^{2/3}_{xy}}$. Since $L^2_z H^{2/3}_{xy} \subset H^{2/3}(\Omega)$, $H^{2/3}(\Omega) = [L^2(\Omega), H^1(\Omega)]_{2/3}$ and $D_\eta\zeta \in H^1(\Omega)$, we arrive by interpolation at

$$\|D_\eta\zeta\|_{L^2_z H^{2/3}_{xy}} \leq C\|D_\eta\zeta\|^{1/3}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^{2/3}_{H^1}.$$

Applying again Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2| &\le C\|\nabla\zeta\|_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|\|D_\eta\zeta\|^{1/3}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^{2/3}_{H^1}\\ &\le C\|\nabla\zeta\|^{3/2}_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^{3/2}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^{1/2}+\frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2\\ &\le C\|\nabla\zeta\|^2_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2+\frac{1}{8}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the term I_3. By Young's inequality $|I_3|\le\frac{1}{2}\|D_\eta g\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2+\|\nabla D_\eta\zeta\|^2+\alpha\|D_\eta\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)} &\le C\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4\left(\|D_\eta v\|^2+\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2\right)+\frac{1}{8}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2\\ &\quad+C\|\nabla\zeta\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2+\frac{1}{8}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|D_\eta g\|^2+\frac{5}{6}\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

For the velocity we obtain analogously

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|D_\eta v\|^2+\|\nabla D_\eta v\|^2 &\le C\|\nabla_H v\|^4\|D_\eta v\|^2+\frac{1}{8}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^2+C\|\nabla v\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}\|D_\eta v\|^2+\frac{1}{8}\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^2\\ &\quad+C\|D_\eta f\|^2+C\|D_\eta v\|^2+\frac{1}{6}\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\|D_\eta\Pi(\zeta)\|^2\le C\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2$. Adding both inequalities, absorbing the $\|D_\eta\nabla\zeta\|^2$ and $\|D_\eta\nabla v\|^2$ terms gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|D_\eta v\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla D_\eta\zeta\|^2+\alpha\|D_\eta\tau\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla D_\eta v\|^2\\ &\quad\le C\left(\|\nabla_H v\|^4+C\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4+\|\nabla v\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}+1\right)\|D_\eta v\|^2\\ &\quad+C\left(\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4+\|\nabla\zeta\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}+1\right)\|D_\eta\zeta\|^2+C\left(\|D_\eta f\|^2+\|D_\eta g\|^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that φ_v given by

$$\varphi_v(t)=\|\nabla_H v\|^4+C\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4+\|\nabla v\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}+1$$

is integrable on $[0,T]$, since $\|\nabla_H v(t)\|^4\le\left(B^v_{H^1}(t)\right)^2$ and $\|\nabla_H\zeta(t)\|^4\le\left(B^\zeta_{H^1}(t)\right)^2$. In addition, $\|\nabla v\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}$ is integrable on $[0,T]$ due to the embedding $H^{1/3}(G)\hookrightarrow L^3(G)$, $L^2_zH^{1/3}_{xy}\subset H^{1/3}(\Omega)$ and the interpolation inequality for $H^{1/3}(\Omega)=[L^2(\Omega),H^1(\Omega)]_{1/3}$. This gives

$$\|\nabla v\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}\le C\|\nabla v\|^6_{L^2_zH^{1/3}_{xy}}\le C\|\nabla v\|^4\|\nabla v\|^2_{H^1}\le C\|\nabla v\|^4\|\Delta v\|^2\le\max_{s\in[0,T]}(B^v_{H^1}(s))^2\|\Delta v\|^2,$$

and note $\|\Delta v\|^2$ is integrable by Step 3. Analogously, φ_ζ given by

$$\varphi_\zeta(t):=\|\nabla_H\zeta\|^4+\|\nabla\zeta\|^6_{L^2_zL^3_{xy}}+1$$

is integrable on $[0,T]$ and hence also $\varphi(t)=\max\{\varphi_v(t),\varphi_\zeta(t)\}$. Integrating with respect to t yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|D_\eta v(t)\|^2+\|D_\eta\zeta(t)\|^2 &\le\|D_\eta v(0)\|^2+\|D_\eta\zeta(0)\|^2+C\int_0^t\left(\|D_\eta f(s)\|^2+\|D_\eta g(s)\|^2\right)ds\\ &\quad+C\int_0^t\varphi(s)\left(\|D_\eta v(s)\|^2+\|D_\eta\zeta(s)\|^2\right)ds.\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's lemma

$$\|D_\eta v(t)\|^2+\|D_\eta\zeta(t)\|^2\le\left(\|D_\eta v(0)\|^2+\|D_\eta\zeta(0)\|^2+C\int_0^t\left(\|D_\eta f\|^2+\|D_\eta g\|^2\right)\right)e^{\int_0^t C\varphi(s)ds},$$

and by taking $\lim \eta \to 0$, we obtain

$$\|\partial_t v(t)\|^2 + \|\partial_t \zeta(t)\|^2 \leq \left(\|\partial_t v(0)\|^2 + \|\partial_t \zeta(0)\|^2 + C \int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t g\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right) e^{\int_0^t C\varphi(s) ds},$$

where the limits to zero exist by assumption.

It remains to estimate the initial values $\|\partial_t v(0)\|, \|\partial_t \zeta(0)\|$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t v(0)\| &\leq \|A_2 a\| + \|a \nabla_H a\| + \|w(0) \partial_z a\| + \|f(0)\| + \|\Pi(b)\|, \\ \|\partial_t \zeta(0)\| &\leq \|\Delta b\| + \|a \nabla_H b\| + \|w(0) \partial_z b\| + \|g(0)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\Pi(b)\| \leq C\|\nabla b\|$ and $\|a\nabla_H a\| \leq C\|\Delta a\|\|\nabla a\|$, $\|a\nabla_H b\| \leq C\|\Delta a\|\|\nabla b\|$, $\|w(0)\partial_z a\| \leq C\|\Delta a\|\|\nabla a\|$ and $\|w(0)\partial_z b\| \leq C\|\Delta a\|\|\nabla b\|$. Hence,

$$\|\partial_t v(t)\|^2 + \|\partial_t \zeta(t)\|^2 \leq \tilde{B}_{\partial_t(v,\zeta)}(t),$$

where $\tilde{B}_{\partial_t(v,\zeta)}$ depends on $\|\Delta a\|$, $\|\Delta b\|$, $f(0)$, $g(0)$, $\int_0^t \|\partial_t f(s)\|^2 ds$, $\int_0^t \|\partial_t g(s)\|^2 ds$, $B_{H^1}^v(t)$, $B_{H^1}^\zeta(t)$ and T.

Remark 6.2. Note that the estimate for $\|\partial_z v\|_{L_z^3 L_{xy}^3}$ which has been used in [17, Section 6, Step 6] has been avoided here. Instead the known $L^\infty(H^1)$ estimate is applied to $\|\partial_z v\|_{L_z^2 L_{xy}^3}$. This is necessary since the estimate in [17] on $\|\partial_z v\|_{L_z^3 L_{xy}^3}$ is not suitable to include right hand sides f or $f + \Pi(\zeta)$.

Step 5: H^2 bound on v and ζ, H^1 bound on π_s.
Note that the graph norms of $-A_2$ and $-\Delta_\zeta$ for $q = 2$, respectively, are equivalent to the H^2 norm. There are are hence constants $c, C > 0$ satisfying

$$\|v\|_{H^2} \leq c\|\Delta v\| \leq C\|A_2 v\|, \text{ for } v \in D(A_2).$$

So, using as in [17, Section 6, Step 8] first the estimate,

$$\|v \nabla_H v\| \leq C\|v\|_{L^6} \|v\|_{H^{1,3}} \leq C\|v\|_{H^1}^{3/2} \|v\|_{H^2}^{1/2} \leq C\|\nabla v\| + \frac{1}{4}\|A_2 v\|,$$

hereby using the embedding $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)$ as well as the estimate $\|v\|_{H^{1,3}} \leq \|v\|_{H^1}^{1/2} \|v\|_{H^2}^{1/2}$, and secondly

$$\|w\partial_z v\| \leq C\|w\|_{L_z^\infty L_{xy}^4} \|\partial_z v\|_{L_z^2 L_{xy}^4} \leq C\|v\|_{L_z^2 H_{xy}^{1,4}} \|v\|_{H_z^{1,2} L_{xy}^4} \leq C\|v\|_{L_z^2 H_{xy}^{3/2,2}} \|v\|_{H_z^{1,2} H_{xy}^{1/2,2}}.$$

Now since $\|v\|_{H_z^{r,q} H_{xy}^{s,p}} = \left\| \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{s,p}(G)} \right\|_{H^{r,q}(-h,0)}$ and since by interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^{3/2,2}(G)} &\leq \|v\|_{H^{1,2}(G)}^{1/2} \|v\|_{H^{2,2}(G)}^{1/2}, && \text{for } v \in H^{2,2}(G), \\ \|v\|_{H^{1/2,2}(G)} &\leq \|v\|_{H^{1,2}(G)}^{1/2} \|v\|_{L^2(G)}^{1/2}, && \text{for } v \in H^{1,2}(G), \end{aligned}$$

Young's inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L_z^2 H_{xy}^{3/2,2}} &\leq \left\| \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{3/2,2}(G)} \right\|_{L^2(-h,0)} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{1,2}(G)} + \varepsilon \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{2,2}(G)} \right\|_{L^2(-h,0)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{1,2}(G)} \|_{L^2(-h,0)} + \varepsilon \left\| \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{2,2}(G)} \right\|_{L^2(-h,0)} \\ &\leq C\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{1,2}(\Omega)} + C\varepsilon \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{2,2}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the triangle inequality for the $H^{1,2}(-h,0)$ norm gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H_z^{1,2} H_{xy}^{1/2,2}} &\leq \left\| \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{1/2,2}(G)} \right\|_{H^{1,2}(-h,0)} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{L^2(G)} + \varepsilon \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{1,2}(G)} \right\|_{H^{1,2}(-h,0)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{L^2(G)} \right\|_{H^{1,2}(-h,0)} + \varepsilon \left\| \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{1,2}(G)} \right\|_{H^{1,2}(-h,0)} \\ &\leq C\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{1,2}(\Omega)} + C\varepsilon \|v(\cdot,z)\|_{H^{2,2}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_2 v(t)\| \leq & \|\partial_t v(t)\| + \|P_2(v(t)\nabla_H v(t))\| + \|P_2(w(t)\partial_z v(t))\| + \|P_2 f(t)\| + \|P_2 f_\tau(t)\| \\ \leq & \|\partial_t v(t)\| + \frac{1}{2}\|A_2 v(t)\| + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\|\nabla v(t)\| + \|f\| + C\|\nabla\zeta\|.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\Delta v(t)\| \leq C(\tilde{B}_{\partial_t(v,\zeta)}(t))^{1/2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}B^v_{H^1}(t) + \|f\| + C(B^\zeta_{H^1}(t))^{1/2} =: B^v_{H^2}(t),$$

where $B^v_{H^2}$ is a continuous function involving all the quantities which have appeared so far.

Finally, assuming that we solved the equation

$$\partial_t v(t) - A_2 v + P_2(v\nabla_H v + w\partial_z v) = P_2(f + \Pi(\zeta)),$$

we may reconstruct the gradient of the pressure by

$$\nabla_H \pi_s = (\mathbb{1} - P_2)\left\{(f + \Pi(\zeta)) - (\Delta v + v\nabla_H v + w\partial_z v)\right\}. \tag{6.2}$$

Hence

$$\|\nabla_H \pi_s(t)\| \leq \|f(t)\| + C(B^\zeta_{H^1}(t))^{1/2} + CB^v_{H^2}(t) =: B^{\pi_s}_{H^1}(t)$$

and by equivalence of norms in $H^1(G)\cap L^2_0(G)$ one has $\|\pi_s\|_{H^1} \leq C\|\nabla_H \pi_s\|$ for some $C > 0$. Considering the equations for the temperature and the salinity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_\zeta\zeta(t)\| + \|\zeta(t)\| &\leq \|\partial_t\zeta(t)\| + \|v(t)\nabla_H\zeta(t)\| + \|(w(t)\partial_z\zeta(t))\| + \|g(t)\| + \|\zeta(t)\| \\ &\leq (\tilde{B}_{\partial_t(v,\zeta)}(t))^{1/2} + CB^v_{H^2}(t) + CB^\zeta_{H^1}(t) + \|g(t)\| =: B^\zeta_{H^2}(t), t \in [0,T],\end{aligned}$$

where $B^\zeta_{H^2}$ is a continuous function involving all quantities which have appeared so far. □

6.2. **Strong Global Well-Posedness.**

Proof of Theorem 3.1. If $f \in H^{1,2}((0,T);L^p(\Omega))$, then $f(t) = f(0) + \int_0^t \partial_t f(s)ds$, (see e.g. [2, Theorem III.1.2.2]). Hence f is continuous and the trace in 0 is well defined and even $f \in C^\eta((0,T);L^p(\Omega))$ for $0 < \eta < 1/2$, see e.g. [3, Theorem 3.9.1].

Step 1: Local Existence and regularization to L^2.
According to Proposition 5.2 (c) there exists $T^* > 0$ such that there is a strong solution to (2.1) and (1.2) on $[0,T^*]$. For $p,q \in [2,\infty)$ we have for $t \in (0,T^*]$

$$v(t) \in D(A_p) \subset D(A_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(t) \in D(\Delta_{q,\zeta}) \subset D(\Delta_{2,\zeta}),$$

and hence the solution constructed in Proposition 5.2 is also a solution in L^2.

Consider $p,q \in (1,2)$. Proposition 5.2 implies that v,ζ is a strong solution to (2.1) and (1.2) with

$$v(t) \in D(A_p) \subset H^{2,p}(\Omega)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(t) \in D(\Delta_{q,\zeta}) \subset H^{2,q}(\Omega) \quad \text{for } t \in (0,T^*].$$

By Sobolev's embeddings, Proposition 4.1 and using the consistency of the trace operators it follows that

$$D(A_p) \hookrightarrow V_{1/p_1,p_1}, \quad D(\Delta_{q,\zeta}) \hookrightarrow \hat{V}_{1/q_1,q_1} \quad \text{for } p \geq \frac{3p_1}{2p_1+1} \text{ and } q \geq \frac{3q_1}{2q_1+1}. \tag{6.3}$$

This fact has been used in [17] to prove global existence for the case $p = 6/5$ with $p_1 = 2$, see Figure 3. In the following we iterate this procedure by defining the recursive sequences (p_n) and (q_n) by

$$p_0 := 2, \quad p_{n+1} := \frac{3p_n}{2p_n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad q_0 := 2, \quad q_{n+1} := \frac{3q_n}{2q_n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

By induction, (p_n) and (q_n) are strictly decreasing with $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} q_n = 1$. Hence, for any $p,q \in (1,2)$, there are $m,n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $p_m < p \leq p_{m-1} \leq 2$ and $q_n < q \leq q_{n-1} \leq 2$. So, for $t_0 > 0$ we have

$$(v(t_0),\zeta(t_0)) \in D(A_p) \times D(\Delta_{q,\zeta}) \subset V_{1/p_{m-1},p_{m-1}} \times \hat{V}_{1/q_{n-1},q_{n-1}}.$$



FIGURE 3. Regularization for the velocity v and $p \geq 6/5$

We now use $v(t_0), \zeta(t_0)$ as new initial values for a solution in $L^{p_{m-1}}_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega) \times L^{q_{n-1}}(\Omega)^2$. By uniqueness of strong solutions, both the original solution and the newly constructed solution coincide in $L^{p_{m-1}}_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega) \times L^{q_{n-1}}(\Omega)^2$. By Proposition 5.2 for $t_1 > t_0$ and by (6.3)

$$(v(t_1), \tau(t_1)) \in D(A_{p_{m-1}}) \times D(\Delta_{q_{m-1},\zeta}) \subset V_{1/p_{m-2},p_{m-2}} \times \hat{V}_{1/q_{m-2},q_{m-2}}.$$

Again we construct solutions in $L^{p_{m-2}}_{\overline{\sigma}}(\Omega) \times L^{q_{m-2}}(\Omega)^2$ by using $(v(t_1), \zeta(t_1))$ as new initial values. Iterating this procedure we arrive at a solution at time $0 < t_m < T^*$ satisfying

$$(v(t_m), \zeta(t_m)) \in V_{1/2,2} \times \hat{V}_{1/2,2}.$$

Using these values as initial values it follows by uniqueness of strong solutions that for $t_m < t \leq T^*$, the local strong solution constructed in Proposition 5.2 is already an L^2 solution. We hence may assume without loss of generality initial values at $t_m < t_{m+1} < T^*$

$$(v(t_{m+1}), \zeta(t_{m+1})) \in D(A_{p'}) \times D(\Delta_{q',\zeta}),$$

where

$$p' := \max\{p, 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad q' := \max\{q, 2\}.$$

Step 2: Global existence for $p, q \in [2, \infty)$.
Consider

$$I_0 = [0, T_1], \quad I_1 = [T_1' - \varepsilon_1, T_1], \quad I_2 = [T_1', T_2], \ldots, \quad I_n = [T_n', T_{n+1}], \ldots,$$

where $T^* = T_1 < T_2 < \ldots$ with $T_n \to \infty$ and $T_n' - \varepsilon_n$ with suitable $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that $T_n' - \varepsilon_n > T_{n-1}$. We thus obtain a sequence of finite intervals with neighbor intervals overlapping, where the union of all these intervals covers the whole interval $[0, \infty)$. Iteratively, we may then construct unique strong solutions on each of these intervals. The induction basis is provided by the local existence in $I_0 = [0, T_1]$. Assume that there is a unique strong solution on all I_m for $m \leq n$. By the uniqueness of the local solutions, the solutions coincide on the overlaps $I_l \cap I_k$, $k, l \leq n$ and hence by assumption there is a unique strong solution on $[0, T_n] = \cup_{m \leq n} I_n$. Now, by assumption $v(T_n') \in D(A_p)$ and $\zeta(T_n') \in D(\Delta_{q,\zeta})$ are bounded since by induction hypothesis

$$v \in C^0((0, T_n]; D(A_p)) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta \in C^0((0, T_n]; D(\Delta_{q,\zeta})).$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ small, one has for all $p, q \geq 2$

$$D(A_2) \subset V_{\delta+\varepsilon}, \quad D(\Delta_{2,\zeta}) \subset \hat{V}_{\delta+\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, combing the *a priori* estimates from Lemma 6.1 on $\|v(s)\|_{D(A_2)}$ and $\|\tau(s)\|_{D(\Delta_{2,\zeta})}$ with the assumption

$$\sup_{s \in I_{n+1}} \|f(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s \in I_{n+1}} \|g(s)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} < \infty,$$

and using Proposition 5.2 (b), the time interval length T_n^* can be chosen uniformly. This allows one to construct local solutions within the intervals

$$[T_n' + kT_n^*, T_n' + (k+1)T_n^*] \cap [T_n', T_{n+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots k_n,$$

where $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest number such that $T_n' + (k_n)T_n^* \geq T_{n+1}$. By the uniqueness of the local strong solutions this extended solution is unique as well, and hence it is proven that there is a unique solution even on $(0, T_{n+1}]$.

Step 3: Global existence for $p, q \in (1, 2)$.
If $p \in (1, 2)$ or $q \in (1, 2)$, then by step 2 there is a global strong solution in $L^{p'}$ and $L^{q'}$, respectively, for

$$p' := \max\{p, 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad q' := \max\{q, 2\}.$$

Since

$$D(A_2) \subset D(A_p) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\Delta_{2,\zeta}) \subset D(\Delta_{q,\zeta}), \quad p, q \in (1, 2),$$

this is already a unique, global, strong solution in L^p and L^q, respectively.

Step 4: Recovering the pressure.
The pressure can be recovered to be

$$\nabla_H \pi_s = (\mathbb{1} - P_p) \left\{ (f + \Pi(\zeta)) - (\Delta v + v \nabla_H v + w \partial_z v) \right\},$$

and it exists globally since both $\|v\|_{D(A_p)}$ and $\|v\|_{D(\Delta_{q,\zeta})}$ exist globally. □

6.3. **Decay at infinity.**

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By the regularization properties we may assume without loss of generality that $v(0) \in D(A_p)$ and $\tau(0) \in D(\Delta_\tau)$. As in the proof of Proposition 5.2 we consider a fixed point iteration, this time with exponential weight. To this end, let $\tilde{\beta_v}$, $\tilde{\beta_\tau}$ such that

$$0 < \tilde{\beta_v} < \beta_v \text{ and } 0 < \tilde{\beta_\tau} < \beta_\tau \text{ with } \tilde{\beta_v} \leq \tilde{\beta_\tau}.$$

For instance, we may set $\tilde{\beta_\tau} = \beta_\tau$ and $\tilde{\beta_v} = \min\{\beta_v, \beta_\tau\} - \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \geq 0$ sufficiently small. Then we define

$$\tilde{k}_m^{v,\infty} := \sup_{s \in (0,\infty)} e^{\tilde{\beta}_v s} \|v_m(s)\|_{V_\gamma}, \quad \tilde{k}_m^{\tau,\infty} := \sup_{s \in (0,\infty)} e^{\tilde{\beta}_\tau s} \|\tau_m(s)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 5.2 we show that

$$e^{\tilde{\beta_v} t} \|v_0(t)\|_{V_\gamma} \leq C e^{(\tilde{\beta_v} - \beta_v)t} \|a\|_{V_\delta} + C \sup_{s \in (0,t)} \{e^{\beta_f s} \|P_p f(s)\|_{X_p}\},$$
$$e^{\tilde{\beta_\tau} t} \|\tau_0(t)\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma} \leq C e^{(\tilde{\beta_v} - \beta_v)t} \|b_\tau\|_{\hat{V}_\delta} + C \sup_{s \in (0,t)} \{e^{\beta_g s} \|g(s)\|_{L^p}\}.$$

Further, using $\tilde{\beta_v} \leq \tilde{\beta_\tau}$,

$$e^{\tilde{\beta_v} t} \|v_{m+1}(t)\|_{V_\gamma} \leq e^{\tilde{\beta_v} t} \|v_0(t)\|_{V_\gamma} + C \sup_{s \in (0,t)} \{e^{\tilde{\beta}_v s} \|v_m\|_{V_\gamma}\}^2 + C \sup_{s \in (0,t)} \{e^{\tilde{\beta}_v s} \|\tau_m\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma}\},$$
$$e^{\tilde{\beta_\tau} t} \|\tau_{m+1}(t)\|_{V_\gamma} \leq e^{\tilde{\beta_\tau} t} \|\tau_0(t)\|_{V_\gamma} + C \sup_{s \in (0,t)} \{e^{\tilde{\beta}_\tau s} \|v_m\|_{V_\gamma}\}^2 + C \sup_{s \in (0,t)} \{e^{\tilde{\beta}_v s} \|\tau_m\|_{\hat{V}_\gamma}\}^2.$$

Hence

$$\tilde{k}_{m+1}^{v,\infty} \leq \tilde{k}_0^{v,\infty} + C(\tilde{k}_m^{v,\infty})^2 + C\tilde{k}_m^{\tau,\infty},$$
$$\tilde{k}_{m+1}^{\tau,\infty} \leq \tilde{k}_0^{\tau,\infty} + C(\tilde{k}_m^{v,\infty})^2 + C(\tilde{k}_m^{\tau,\infty})^2, \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $\tilde{k}_0^{v,\infty}$ and $\tilde{k}_0^{\tau,\infty}$ small enough, the sequence $(\tilde{k}_m^{v,\infty}, \tilde{k}_m^{\tau,\infty})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is uniformly bounded. In particular, the limits of $e^{\tilde{\beta}_v s} v_m(s)$ and $e^{\tilde{\beta}_\tau s} \tau_m(s)$ exist in V_γ and $\hat{V}_\gamma$, respectively, defining a global solution with exponential decay. Since locally solutions are unique the constructed solution coincides with the global

solution constructed before. In particular, then the non-linear remainders $F_p(v,\tau,0)$ and $G_p(v,\tau,0)$ are exponentially decaying.

It remains to prove that there are initial values such that $\tilde{k}_0^{v,\infty}$ and $\tilde{k}_0^{\tau,\infty}$ are indeed sufficiently small. Consider first the case $p=2$. By assumption $f \in L^2(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega)^2)$ and $g \in L^2(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega))$. We conclude from (6.1) and analogously for $\mu_1 > 0$, the smallest eigenvalue of $-\Delta_\tau$,

$$\|\tau(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla\tau(s)\|^2 + \alpha\|\tau(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_u)}ds \leq \|b_\tau\| + \frac{1}{\mu_1}\int_0^t \|g(s)\|^2 ds.$$

Therefore, $\|v(t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}$ and $\|\tau(t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}$ are integrable on $(0,\infty)$. Hence,

$$\inf_{t\in(0,\infty)} \Big(\|v(t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\tau(t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big) = 0,$$

and it follows that there is $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $\|v(t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} = \|v(t)\|^2_{V_{1/2}}$ and $\|\tau(t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} = \|\tau(t)\|^2_{\hat{V}_{1/2}}$ are small enough. Hence, mimicking the proof of [17, Theorem 6.1, Step 9], it follows form the above that $\|v(t)\|^2_{D(A_2)}$ and $\|\tau(t)\|^2_{D(B_2)}$ are exponentially decaying. For $p \in (1,\infty)$ one has $D(A_2) \subset V_{1/p}$ and $D(B_2) \subset \hat{V}_{1/q}$ by Proposition 4.1. Thus, there for all $p,q \in (1,\infty)$ there exist initial values for which $\tilde{k}_0^{v,\infty}$ and $\tilde{k}_0^{\tau,\infty}$ are small enough. We conclude as in [17, Theorem 6.1, Step 9] that

$$\|\partial_t v\|_{L^p} + \|v\|_{D(A_p)} \leq Ce^{-\beta_v t}, \quad \|\partial_t\tau\|_{L^p} + \|\tau\|_{D(\Delta_\tau)} \leq Ce^{-\beta_\tau t}, \text{ for some } C>0.$$

Reconstructing the pressure term we obtain

$$\|\nabla_H \pi_s\|_{L^p} \leq C\left(\|v\|^2_{D(A_p)} + \|\tau\|_{D(\Delta_\tau)} + \|f\|\right) \leq Ce^{-\min\{\beta_v,\beta_\tau\}t}.$$

□

References

[1] H. Amann. Nonhomogeneous linear and quasilinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems. In *Function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis (Friedrichroda, 1992)*:9–126, 1993.

[2] H. Amann. 1995 *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems. Vol. I.* Abstract linear theory Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA 1995.

[3] H. Amann. *Anisotropic function spaces and maximal regularity for parabolic problems. Part 1.* Matfyzpress, Prague 2009.

[4] Y. Brenier. Homogeneous hydrostatic flows with convec velocity profiles. *Nonlinearity*, 12:495–512, 1999.

[5] D. Bresch, A. Kazhikhov, J. Lemoine. On the two-dimensional hydrostatic Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 36(3):796–814, 2004/05.

[6] Ch. Cao and E. Titi. Global well–posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations of large scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics. *Annals of Mathematics*, 166:245–267, 2007.

[7] Ch. Cao and E. Titi. Global well–posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations with partial vertical turbulence mixing heat diffusion. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 310:537–568, 2012.

[8] Ch. Cao, J. Li and E. Titi. Global well-posedness of strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with horizontal eddy diffusivity. *J. Differential Equations*, 257(11):4108–4132, 2014.

[9] Ch. Cao, J. Li and E. Titi. Local and global well-posedness of strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with vertical eddy diffusivity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 214(1):35–76, 2014.

[10] Ch. Cao, J. Li and E. Titi. Global well-posedness of strong solutions to the 3d-primitive equations with only horizontal viscosity and diffusion. Preprint: ArXiv:1406.1995, 2014.

[11] I. Chueshov. A squeezing property and its applications to a description of long-time behaviour in the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 144(4):711–729, 2014.

[12] H. Fujita and T. Kato. On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem. I. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 16:269–315, 1964.

[13] G. P. Galdi, M. Hieber and T. Kashiwabara. Strong Time-Periodic Solutions to the 3D Primitive Equations Subject to arbitrary Large Forces. Preprint, arXiv:1509.02637v1, 2015.

[14] M. Gries. Strong Time-Periodic Solutions to the 3D Primitive Equations with Temperature. In Preparation.

[15] F. Guillén-González, N. Masmoudi and M. Rodríguez-Bellido. Anisotropic estimates and strong solutions of the primitive equations. *Differential Integral Equations*, 14(11):1381–1408, 2001.

[16] M. Hamouda, C. Y. Jung and R. Temam. Existence and regularity results for the inviscid primitive equations with lateral periodicity. Preprint, arXiv:1602.06551v1, 2016.

[17] M. Hieber and T. Kashiwabara. Global Strong Well–Posedness of the Three Dimensional Primitive Equations in L^p–Spaces. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, DOI 10.1007/s00205-016-0979-x, 2016.
[18] T. Hishida. Existence and Regularizing Properties of Solutions for the Nonstationary Convection Problem. *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 34:449–474, 1991.
[19] N. Ju. The global attractor for the solutions to the 3D viscous primitive equations. *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst.*, 17:711–729, 2007.
[20] G. M. Kobelkov. Existence of a solution "in the large" for ocean dynamics equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 9(4):588–610, 2007.
[21] I. Kukavica, Y. Pei, W. Rusin and M. Ziane. Primitive equations with continuous initial data. *Nonlinearity*, 27:1135–1155, 2014.
[22] I. Kukavica, R. Temam, V. Vicol and M. Ziane. Local existence and uniqueness of solution for the hydrostatic Euler equations on a bounded domain. *J. Differential Equations*, 250:1719–1746, 2011.
[23] I. Kukavica and M. Ziane. On the regularity of the primitive equations of the ocean. *Nonlinearity*, 20(12):2739–2753, 2007.
[24] I. Kukavica and M. Ziane. Uniform gradient bounds for the primitive equations of the ocean. *Differ. Integtral Equ.*, 21:837–849, 2008.
[25] J. L. Lions, R. Temam and Sh. H. Wang. New formulations of the primitive equations of atmosphere and applications. *Nonlinearity*, 5(2):237–288, 1992.
[26] J. L. Lions, R. Temam and Sh. H. Wang. On the equations of the large-scale ocean. *Nonlinearity*, 5(5):1007–1053, 1992.
[27] J. L. Lions, R. Temam and Sh. H. Wang. Models for the coupled atmosphere and ocean. (CAO I,II). *Comput. Mech. Adv.*, 1:3–119, 1993.
[28] J. Li and E. Titi. Existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to viscous primitive equations for certain class of discontinuous initial data. Preprint, arXiv:1512.00700v1, 2015.
[29] A. J. Majda. *Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean.* (Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol 9). Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2003.
[30] N. Masmoudi and T. K. Wong. On the H^s theory of hydrostatic Euler equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 204:231–271, 2012.
[31] T. Nau. *L^p-Theory of Cylindrical Boundary Value Problems.* An Operator-Valued Fourier Multiplier and Functional Calculus Approach. Springer Spektrum 2012.
[32] J. Pedlosky. *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, 2nd edition,*. Springer, New York, 1987.
[33] M. Petcu, R. Temam and M. Ziane. Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics. In *Handbook of numerical analysis. Vol. XIV. Special volume: computational methods for the atmosphere and the oceans*, 14:577–750, 2009.
[34] H. Triebel. *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators.* Second Edition Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg 1978.
[35] G. K. Vallis. *Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics.* Second Edition Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
[36] W. M. Washington and C. L. Parkinson. *An Introduction to Three Dimensional Climate Modeling.* Second Edition Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
[37] M. Coti-Zelati, A. Huang, I. Kukavica, R. Temam and M. Ziane. The primitive equations of the atmosphere in presence of vapour saturation. *Nonlinearity*, 28(3):625, 2015.
[38] M. Ziane. Regularity results for Stokes type systems related to climatology. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 8(1):53–58, 1995.
[39] M. Ziane. Regularity results for Stokes type systems. *Appl. Anal.*, 58(3–4):263–292, 1995.

Departement of Mathematics, TU Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
E-mail address: hieber@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Departement of Mathematics, TU Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
E-mail address: hussein@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
E-mail address: tkashiwa@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp